Corrigendum to 'The aggregation of propositional attitudes: towards a general theory'

Franz Dietrich and Christian List

May 2014

In this note, we correct an error in our statement of the condition of 'implication preservation', introduced in Section 3 and used in Theorem 1. Recall that in Section 3 attitudes are either probabilistic (with value set $V = [0, 1]$) or binary (with value set $V = \{0, 1\}$). Call an attitude function on the agenda X *compatible* with a given set S of propositions if that function can be extended to a probability or truth function that assigns the value 1 to each proposition in S. The correct statement of 'implication preservation' is the following:

Implication preservation. For all sets of implications of the form $p \rightarrow q$, where $p, q \in X$, and all admissible profiles, if all individuals' attitude functions are compatible with this set of implications, then so is the collective attitude function generated by F.

This differs from what we stated in the paper by referring not to a single implication, but to a set of implications. We had originally introduced the condition of 'implication preservation' in relation to the aggregation of probability functions defined on σ-algebras (Dietrich and List 2007b, as cited in the paper), where the reference to a single implication is sufficient. The error arose when we translated the condition into the current framework, where attitude functions are defined, not on σ-algebras, but on potentially much smaller agendas. Here, the reference to a set of implications is needed.